UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/795,978	03/10/2004	Ken Takano	YMOR:172A	2207
<sup>27890</sup> STEPTOE & JO	7590 03/23/2007 OHNSON LLP		EXAMINER	
1330 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ARBES, CARL J	CARL J
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3729	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MO	NTHS	03/23/2007	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	•	XX					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
0.00 A 11 a 0 amana	10/795,978	TAKANO ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	. Art Unit					
·	C. J. Arbes	3729					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state the provision of the provision of the maximum statutory perior of the provision of	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION (1.136(a). In no event, however, may a root will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON oute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01	February 2007.						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Th	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-4 and 11-14 is/are pending in the	application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 11-14</u> is/are rejected.							
•	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.						
Application Papers		1					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attached	I Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	•						
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not	received.					
	•						
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)					
2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	<u> </u>						

Application/Control Number: 10/795,978

Art Unit: 3729

After review and careful reconsideration the Office re-opens the prosecution of the instant Application and provides the following Office Action.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-4 and 11-14 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-4 of U.S. Patent No. 6,729,018 B1; hereinafter Takano et al. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because given the claimed invention in Takano et al coupled with ordinary skill in this art a PHOSITA would make and use the claimed invention in the instant Application.

This is a Non-Final Office Action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to C. J. Arbes whose telephone number is 571-272-4563. The examiner can normally be reached on M, T, R and F from 8 to 6.

Application/Control Number: 10/795,978

Art Unit: 3729

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, P. Vo, can be reached on 571-72-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

C. J. Arbes
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3729